SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA MARICOPA COUNTY

DR 2000-094890 11/21/2002

HONORABLE BRIAN K. ISHIKAWA

CLERK OF THE COURT
B. Finney
Deputy

FILED: 11/27/2002

IN RE THE MARRIAGE OF VANESSA ANN NICHOLS

JOHN E HERRICK

AND

BRYON NEVELE NICHOLS

HARRY P FRIEDLANDER

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court has received Respondent's Motion to Enforce Settlement, Petitioner's Response to Motion to Enorce [sic] Settlement and a letter from Respondent, with attachments, dated October 31, 2002. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED setting a hearing to consider oral argument re: Respondent's Motion to Enforce Settlement on January 29, 2003 at 10:30 a.m. in this Division, 222 East Javelina Drive, Courtroom 403, Mesa, Arizona 85210. (Time allotted: 30 minutes; presumptive time allocation: 15 minutes per side).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED any evidence intended to be submitted as exhibits at the time of hearing must be brought to this Division, Courtroom 403, by no later than 4:00 p.m., January 24, 2003, along with an attached cover sheet listing the description of the exhibits. Any exhibits not submitted by 4:00 p.m., January 24, 2003 will not be accepted.

NOTE: A REQUEST/MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE FILED BY EITHER COUNSEL/PARTY DOES NOT SUSPEND THE REQUIREMENT THAT THE EXHIBITS BE SUBMITTED BY THE DEADLINE SET BY THE COURT.

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA MARICOPA COUNTY

DR 2000-094890 11/21/2002

NOTE: ALL EXHIBITS MUST BE HAND-DELIVERED TO THIS DIVISION'S JUDICIAL STAFF AT (602) 506-5225/5121 BETWEEN THE HOURS OF 8:30 A.M. TO 12:00 P.M. AND 1:30 P.M. TO 4:00 P.M. ANY EXHIBITS DROPPED OFF IN JUDGE ISHIKAWA'S MAILBOX OR COURT ADMINISTRATION WITHOUT PRIOR ARRANGEMENTS WITH THIS DIVISION WILL BE REJECTED.

PURSUANT TO RULE 5.1(C) OF THE ARIZONA RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE,

IT IS ORDERED THAT COUNSEL, OR ANY PARTY IF UNREPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, SHALL GIVE THIS COURT PROMPT NOTICE OF THE SETTLEMENT OF THE CASE OR MATTER SET FOR TRIAL, HEARING OR ARGUMENT BEFORE THE TRIAL, HEARING, ARGUMENT OR MATTER AWAITING COURT RULING. IN THE EVENT OF ANY UNREASONABLE DELAY IN THE GIVING OF SUCH NOTICE, THE COURT MAY IMPOSE SANCTIONS AGAINST COUNSEL OR THE PARTIES TO INSURE FUTURE COMPLIANCE WITH THIS RULE.